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I. GENERALIZED SHANNON-KHINCHIN AXIOMS

In this section we review generalized Shannon-Khinchin axioms. We discuss the proof for unique class satisfying the axioms proposed by Suyari [1] and give a counterexample.

A. Suyari’s theorem

Let $\Delta_n$ be the $n$-dimensional simplex,

$$
\Delta_n = \left\{ (p_1, \ldots, p_n) \mid p_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1 \right\}
$$

and let $\mathbb{R}^+$ denotes the set of positive real numbers.

For a function $S_q : \Delta_n \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the following Shannon-Khinchin (SK) axioms [GSK1]–[GSK4]:

- [GSK1] Continuity: $S_q$ is continuous in $\Delta_n$ and with respect to $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$;
- [GSK2] Maximal starts: for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $(p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$

$$
S_q(p_1, \ldots, p_n) \leq S_q\left(\frac{1}{n}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n}\right);
$$

- [GSK3] Expansibility:

$$
S_1(p_1, \ldots, p_n, 0) = S_1(p_1, \ldots, p_n);
$$

- [GSK4] Generalized Shannon additivity: if $p_{ij} \geq 0$, $p_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} p_{ij}$, $p(j|i) = \frac{p_{ij}}{p_i}$,

$$
\forall i = 1, \ldots, n, \quad \forall j = 1, \ldots, m_i,
$$

then the following equality holds:

$$
S_q(p_{11}, \ldots, p_{nm_n}) = S_q(p_1, \ldots, p_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^q S_q(p(1|i), \ldots, p(m_i|i)).
$$

Remark 1: [GSK1]–[GSK4] reduces to [SK1]–[SK4] for $q = 1$. As shown in [2], $S_1$ is Shannon entropy, i.e.

$$
S_1 = -k \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln p_i,
$$

where $k > 0$. Because of [GSK1], we have

$$
\lim_{q \to 1} S_q = S_1 = -k \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln p_i.
$$

Theorem 1: Let $S_q : \Delta_n \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a function which is not identically equals to zero for $n > 1$ and satisfies [GSK1]–[GSK3] and the following generalized Shannon additivity axiom for $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then, $S_q : \Delta_n \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is uniquely determined with

$$
S_q(p_1, \ldots, p_n) = \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^q}{\phi(q)},
$$

for $q \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{1\}$ and $(p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$, and $\phi(q)$ satisfies the following properties i-iv):

i) $\phi(q)$ is continuous and has the same sign as $q - 1$;

ii) $\lim_{q \to 1} \phi(q) = 0$, and $\phi(q) \neq 0$ for $q \neq 1$;

iii) there exists an interval $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $a < 1 < b$ and $\phi(q)$ is differentiable on the interval $(a, 1) \cup (1, b)$;

iv) there exists a positive constant $k$ such that

$$
\lim_{q \to 1} \frac{\phi(q)}{q - 1} = k.
$$

According to Suyari’s proof, the form [8] is uniquely determined by solving functional equation [5] from [GSK4] and by using the continuity with respect to $p$ as assumed in [GSK1]. Property (i) follows from maximality condition [GSK2]. The continuity with respect to $q$ from [GSK1] implies condition (ii). [GSK4] is used only for proof of the basic case $q = 1$, at which [GSK1]–[GSK4] reduce to Shannon-Khinchin axioms.
To satisfy (4), Suyari, using the fact that numerator $1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^q$ is differentiable with respect to $q$, concludes that properties (iii) and (iv) must be satisfied for $\phi(q)$. However, (7) will actually be satisfied iff:

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} S_q(p_1, \ldots, p_n) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{q - 1}{\phi(q)} \cdot \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^q}{q - 1} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{q - 1}{\phi(q) - \phi(1)} \cdot \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \left( - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^q \ln p_i \right) = -k \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln p_i$$

i.e. iff

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{\phi(q) - \phi(1)}{q - 1} = \frac{1}{k}$$

(10)

(We have used L’Hospital’s rule to show that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^q}{q - 1} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \ln p_i$.) Accordingly, properties (iii) and (iv) should be replaced with iii’ $\phi(q)$ is differentiable in $q = 1$ and

$$\frac{d\phi(q)}{dq} \Big|_{q=1} = \frac{1}{k}.$$  

Hence, the function $\phi(q)$ need not be differentiable in a neighbourhood of $q = 1$, but only in $q = 1$. In the following subsection we construct the function which has these two properties.

Condition (iii’), describes a class of functions which are not characterized by conditions (iii)-(iv). One example is shown in the following subsection. Although Suyari’s conditions (iii)-(iv) may appear as conditions which do not require differentiability of function $\phi(q)$ in 1 and therefore describe a class of functions not characterized by condition (iii’), that is actually not the case, as the following Lemma shows.

**Lemma 1**: Let $f$ be a continuous function on $(a, b)$ and suppose that $x_0 \in (a, b)$ is such that $f'(x)$ exists for all $x \in (a, x_0) \cup (x_0, b)$. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f'(x) = L$, then $f'(x_0) = L$.

**Proof**: For sufficiently small $h \in \mathbb{R}$, $h > 0$, $f$ is continuous on $[x_0, x_0 + h]$ and $f'(x)$ exists for all $x \in (x_0, x_0 + h)$. Conditions for the Mean Value Theorem are fulfilled, therefore there exists $\eta_h \in (x_0, x_0 + h)$ such that

$$f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0) = h \cdot f'(\eta_h).$$

Hence

$$f'_+(x_0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h \cdot f'(\eta_h)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} f'(\eta_h) = L. $$

Similarly, it can be proven that $f'_-(x_0) = L$, and therefore $f'(x_0) = L$.

### B. Counterexample

The Weierstrass function is a well known example of nowhere differentiable continuous function [3]. It is defined with:

$$W(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k \cos(b^k \pi x),$$

where $0 < a < 1$, $b$ is a positive odd integer, $ab > 1 + 3\pi/2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The Weierstrass function is bounded, since

$$|W(x)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k = W(0) < \infty,$$

where $W(0) = 1/(1-a)$. Using the Weierstrass function we construct $\phi(q)$, which satisfies properties (i), (ii) and (iii’), but not properties (iii) and (iv).

Let

$$\phi(q) = \frac{q - 1}{k} \cdot \frac{W(q - 1) + 2 \cdot W(0)}{3 \cdot W(0)}.$$  

(14)

Since $W(x)$ is continuous and $W(x) + 2W(0) > 0$ according to [13], $\phi(q)$ satisfies properties (i) and (ii). Moreover,

$$\frac{d\phi(q)}{dq} \Big|_{q=1} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{\phi(q) - \phi(1)}{q - 1} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{\phi(q)}{q - 1} = \frac{1}{k}.$$  

(15)

and function $\phi(q)$ satisfies property (iii’).

However, function $\phi(q)$ does not satisfy property (iii) from Suyari’s theorem since it is differentiable only in $q = 1$. Oppositely, the function

$$\frac{1}{q - 1} \cdot \phi(q) = \frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{W(q - 1) + 2 \cdot W(0)}{3 \cdot W(0)}$$

(16)

should be differentiable for some $q \neq 1$ as a product of differentiable functions, further implying differentiability of $W(q - 1)$, which is impossible since the Weierstrass function is nowhere differentiable.

### II. NEW AXIOMATIC SYSTEM

In this section we review the class entropies obtained as averaging of pseudoaddivitive information content introduced in [4]. After that we generalize Suyari’s axiomatic system, which uniquely determines the class of entropies derived in [4].

#### A. Entropy as expected information content

**Theorem 2**: Let $I_q(p)$ be a function of two variables $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $p \in (0, 1]$, which satisfies the following axioms

[S0] $I_1(p) = -k \ln p$, $k > 0$,
[S1] $I_q$ is continuous with respect to $p \in (0, 1]$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$,
[S2] $I_q(p)$ is convex with respect to $p \in (0, 1]$ for any fixed $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$,
[S3] There exists a function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$I_q(p_1 p_2) = I_q(p_1) + I_q(p_2) + \phi(q) \cdot I_q(p_1) \cdot I_q(p_2)$$

for any $p_1, p_2 \in (0, 1]$, $\phi(q) \neq 0$ for $q \neq 1$ and $\phi(q)$ is continuous.

The axiom [S3] from [4] is actually not the same [S3] from the present paper, but it is equivalent. It can be obtained if we set $\phi(q) = \varphi(q)/k$, where $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.
Then, the unique nontrivial solution is given by
\[ I_q(p) = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \cdot \left( p^{\alpha(q)} - 1 \right), \]
where \( k \) is a positive constant and
(a) \( \alpha(q) \) is continuous with respect to any \( q \in \mathbb{R}^+ \),
\( \alpha(1) = 0, \alpha(q) \neq 0 \) for \( q \neq 1 \) and
\[ \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{\alpha(q)}{\phi(q)} = -k. \]
(b) it holds that
\[ \alpha(q) \in \left\{ (-\infty, 0] \text{ for } \phi(q) > 0 \right\} \left\{ [0, 1] \text{ for } \phi(q) < 0 \right\}. \]

Remark 2: Note that condition \( \alpha(q) \neq 0 \) for \( q \neq 1 \) ensures that information content is not identically equals zero for some \( q \).

Nonextensive entropy of distribution \( p \), \( S_q(p) \), is defined as the appropriate expectation value of \( I_q \),
\[ S_q(p) \equiv E_{q,p}[I_q]. \]
The expectation is chosen so that the maximality principle is satisfied:
\[ S_q(p_1, \ldots, p_n) \leq S_q\left( \frac{1}{n}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n} \right). \]
The simplest case is the trace form expectation:
\[ E_{q,p}[I_q] \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_q(p_i) \cdot I_q(p_i) \]
In this case the maximality condition is satisfied if \( e_q(p) \cdot I_q(p) \) is concave as shown in \[5\]. For the information content \[17\], one possible choice is \( e_q(p) = p^{-\alpha(q)+1} \) in which case we obtain
\[ S_q(p) = \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{-\alpha(q)+1}}{\phi(q)}. \]
Note that the trace form expectation operator \[20\] represents the generalization of expectation operator which is used in axioms \[GSK4\] for \( \alpha(q) = 1 - q \). In the following subsection we will give the axiomatization of entropy \[22\] by generalization of the axiomatic system \[GSK1\]-\[GSK4\] from section \[IIA\] based on expectation operator \[20\].

B. New axiomatic system

Theorem 3: Let \( S_q : \Delta_n \to \mathbb{R}^+ \), \( q \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) be a function which is not identically equals to zero for \( n > 1 \) and satisfies \[GSK1\]-\[GSK3\] and the following generalized Shannon additivity axiom for \( q \in \mathbb{R}^+ \):
\[ \text{gsk4 generalized Shannon additivity: if} \]
\[ p_{ij} \geq 0, \quad p_i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} p_{ij}, \quad p(j| i) \equiv \frac{p_{ij}}{p_i}, \]
\[ \forall i = 1, \ldots, n, \quad \forall j = 1, \ldots, m_i \]
and \( \alpha : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R} \) is a continuous function, then the following equality holds:
\[ S_q(p_{11}, \ldots, p_{nm_n}) = S_q(p_1, \ldots, p_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{-\alpha(q)+1} S_q(p(1| i), \ldots, p(m| i)), \]
where \( \alpha(q) \) is continuous, \( \alpha(1) = 0 \) and \( \alpha(q) \neq 0 \) for \( q \neq 1 \).
Then, \( S_q : \Delta_n \to \mathbb{R}^+ \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), is uniquely determined with
\[ S_q(p_1, \ldots, p_n) = \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{-\alpha(q)+1}}{\phi(q)}, \]
where \( (p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in \Delta_n, q \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) and
(a) \( \phi(q) \) is continuous with respect to any \( q \in \mathbb{R}^+ \),
\( \phi(q) \neq 0 \) for \( q \neq 1 \) and \( \phi(1) = 0 \) [OP] and
\[ \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{\alpha(q)}{\phi(q)} = -k. \]
(b) it holds that
\[ \alpha(q) \in \left\{ (-\infty, 0] \text{ for } \phi(q) > 0 \right\} \left\{ [0, 1] \text{ for } \phi(q) < 0 \right\}. \]

Remark 3: The axiom \[gsk4\] reduces to \[GSK4\] if we choose \( \alpha(q) = 1 - q \).

Remark 4: The conditions for \( \alpha(q) \) and \( \phi(q) \) are identical to the conditions from subsection \[IIA\]. Accordingly, the class of entropy functionals \[25\] is the same as the class \[22\].

Proof: By straightforward repetition of steps from to Suyari’s proof, the form \[25\] is uniquely determined by solving functional equation \[24\] from \[gsk4\] and by using the continuity with respect to \( p \) as assumed in \[GSK1\].

The properties of \( \phi(q) \) given by (a) straightforwardly follow from continuity of \( S_q \) and \( \alpha(q) \). Before proving the equality \[26\], we make the following note. The condition \[26\] is necessary and sufficient for satisfaction of the limit property \[7\].
\[ \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{-\alpha(q)+1}}{\phi(q)} = - \sum_{i=1}^{n} k \cdot \ln p_i. \]
To prove this, let us introduce \( \gamma(q) = p^{-\alpha(q)} - 1. \) Using \( \gamma(q) \to 0 \) when \( q \to 1 \) and \( (1 + t)^+ \to e \) when \( t \to 0 \), we have
\[ \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{1 - p^{-\alpha(q)}}{\phi(q)} = \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{\alpha(q)}{\phi(q)} \cdot \frac{1 - p^{-\alpha(q)}}{\alpha(q)} = \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{\alpha(q)}{\phi(q)} \cdot \frac{\ln p}{\ln (1 + \gamma(q))^{\frac{\alpha(q)}{\gamma(q)}}} = \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{\alpha(q)}{\phi(q)} \cdot \ln p. \]
For \( p_i = p = 1/n \), \[28\] reduces to
\[ \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{1 - p^{-\alpha(q)}}{\phi(q)} = -k \cdot \ln p, \]
and according to (29), the condition (26) is necessary. On the other hand,
\[
\lim_{q \to 1} \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{-\alpha(q) + 1}}{\phi(q)} = \lim_{q \to 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \cdot \frac{1 - p_i^{-\alpha(q)}}{\phi(q)}
\]
and (29) implies the sufficiency of condition (26).

Property (b) can be proven by taking the second derivative of \(I_q(p)\) with respect to \(p\), which should be nonnegative for any fixed \(q \in \mathbb{R}^+\), since \(I_q(p)\) is convex by [T2]. Thus, we can derive a constraint
\[
\frac{\alpha(q)}{\phi(q)} \cdot (\alpha(q) - 1) \geq 0
\]
for any \(q \in \mathbb{R}^+\). The constraint (32) is satisfied if
\[
\alpha(q) \in \begin{cases} 
(-\infty, 0] \cup [1, \infty) & \text{for } \phi(q) > 0 \\
[0, 1] & \text{for } \phi(q) < 0.
\end{cases}
\]
As shown in [4], if \(\alpha(q)\) and \(\phi(q)\) are continuous and the equality (26) holds, then \(\alpha(q) \notin [1, \infty)\), and the equality (27) follows, which proves and theorem.

III. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we reviewed generalized Shannon-Khinchin axioms proposed by Suyari in [1].

We discussed Suyari’s proof of a unique class of functions satisfying those axioms, pointed out the oversight, supported it with a counterexample and gave the correction of the proof. Suyari’s paper has been widely cited and a similar oversight has been noticed in some of them. For example, in [6] the author follows the same procedure in generalizations of Hobson’s axioms, which leads to the similar incorrectness.

In addition, we generalize Suyari’s axioms characterizing the recently introduced class of entropies obtained by averaging pseudoadditive information content introduced in [4].
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